



CAFC rejects the 25% Rule of Thumb as a Fundamentally Flawed Toll in Reasonable Royalty Calculation (Available only in Japanese)

- *Nikkei IP Awareness, January 13, 2011 (published in Japanese)*

Author(s): Suzue Fujimori, Michael J. Kasdan

CAFC rejects the 25% Rule of Thumb as a Fundamentally Flawed Toll in Reasonable Royalty Calculation (Available only in Japanese)

CAFC rejects the 25% Rule of Thumb as a Fundamentally Flawed Toll in Reasonable Royalty Calculation (Available only in Japanese)

CAFC rejects the 25% Rule of Thumb as a Fundamentally Flawed Toll in Reasonable Royalty Calculation (Available only in Japanese)

CAFC rejects the 25% Rule of Thumb as a Fundamentally Flawed Toll in Reasonable Royalty Calculation (Available only in Japanese)

CAFC rejects the 25% Rule of Thumb as a Fundamentally Flawed Toll in Reasonable Royalty Calculation (Available only in Japanese)



Microsoft, Seagate Technology, Uniloc, etc. The motion for judgment as a matter of law (JMOL) of no-willful infringement is granted. The court finds that the defendant's actions constitute willful infringement of the plaintiff's patents. The court awards damages and attorney's fees. The court grants summary judgment in favor of the plaintiff on all claims.

Microsoft, Seagate Technology, Uniloc, etc. The motion for judgment as a matter of law (JMOL) of no-willful infringement is granted. The court finds that the defendant's actions constitute willful infringement of the plaintiff's patents. The court awards damages and attorney's fees. The court grants summary judgment in favor of the plaintiff on all claims.

Microsoft, Seagate Technology, Uniloc, etc. The motion for judgment as a matter of law (JMOL) of no-willful infringement is granted. The court finds that the defendant's actions constitute willful infringement of the plaintiff's patents. The court awards damages and attorney's fees. The court grants summary judgment in favor of the plaintiff on all claims.

-
-
-